1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LAURA FUJISAWA, et al.) No. C07-5642 BZ	
12	Plaintiff(s),))	
13	v.))	
14	COMPASS VISION, INC., et al.,	SPECIAL VERDICT	
15	a1.,))	
16	Defendant(s).))	
17)	
18			
19	We the jury find the fo	llowing special verdict on the	
20	questions submitted to us:		
21			
22	1. Was Compass Vision,	Inc. negligent?	
23	Yes	No	
24			
25	If you answered YES to	question 1, proceed to question	
26	2. If you answered NO to question 1, place a zero beside		
27	Compass Vision in question 14, skip questions 2-5, and		
28	proceed to question 6.		

1	2. Did Compass Vision negligently promote the use			
2	and/or interpretation of EtG testing as a method of detecting			
3	alcohol abuse to the California Diversion Program.			
4	Yes No			
5				
6	3. Did Compass Vision negligently advise the California			
7	Diversion Program to initially establish 250ng/ml as the			
8	cutoff for declaring an EtG test positive?			
9	Yes No			
10				
11	4. After the advisories about EtG testing appeared, was			
12	Compass Vision negligent in recommending that the California			
13	Diversion Program continue to rely on positive EtG test			
14	results at the cutoff levels it was recommending at that			
15	time?			
16	Yes No			
17				
18	5. Was Compass Vision's negligence a substantial factor			
19	in causing harm to Laura Fujisawa?			
20	Vec No			
21	Yes No			
22	If you anawared NO to guartien E. plage a gare begide			
23	If you answered NO to question 5, place a zero beside Compass Vision in question 14 and proceed to question 6.			
24	Compass vision in question 14 and proceed to question 6.			
25	C Was National Madisal Countries. The most country			
26	6. Was National Medical Services, Inc. negligent?			
27	Yes No			
28				

1	If you answered YES to question 6, proceed to question
2	7.
3	
4	If you answered NO to question 6 and YES to question 5,
5	place a zero beside National Medical Services in
6	question 14, skip questions 7-10, and proceed to
7	question 11.
8	
9	If you answered NO to question 6 and NO to question 5,
10	answer no further questions and have the presiding juror
11	sign and date this form.
12	
13	7. Did National Medical Services negligently promote
14	the use and/or interpretation of EtG testing as a method of
15	detecting alcohol abuse to the California Diversion Program.
16	Yes No
17	
18	8. Did National Medical Services negligently advise the
19	California Diversion Program to initially establish 250ng/ml
20	as the cutoff for declaring an EtG test positive?
21	YesNo
22	
23	9. After the advisories about EtG testing appeared, was
24	National Medical Services negligent in recommending that the
25	California Diversion Program continue to rely on positive EtG
26	test results at the cutoff levels it was recommending at the
27	time?
28	YesNo

Τ	10 Noticed Medical Commisses, most seems				
2	10. Was National Medical Services' negligence a				
3	substantial factor in causing harm to Laura Fujisawa?				
4	YesNo				
5					
6	If you answered YES to question 10, proceed to question				
7	11.				
8					
9	If you answered NO in question 10 and YES in question 5,				
10	place a zero beside National Medical Services in				
11	question 14 and proceed to question 11.				
12					
13	If you answered NO to question 10 and NO to question 5,				
14	answer no further questions and have the presiding juror				
15	sign and date this form.				
16					
17	11. What are Laura Fujisawa's total damages? Do not				
18	reduce the damages based on the fault, if any, of Laura				
19	Fujisawa or others.				
20					
21	a. Past economic loss: \$				
22	la Distriction de la constant de la				
23	b. Future economic loss: \$				
24	c. Past non-economic loss: \$				
25	d. Future non-economic loss: \$				
26	α. Future non-economic loss. \$				
27					
28					

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

If Laura Fujisawa has proven any damages, then answer question 12. If Laura Fujisawa has not proved any damages, then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

12.	Was	Laura	Fujisawa	negligent?
		Yes	5	No

If your answer to question 12 is YES, then proceed to question 13. If you answered NO, place a zero beside Laura Fujisawa in question 14 and proceed to question 14.

13. Was Laura Fujisawa's negligence a substantial factor in causing her harm?

_____Yes ____No

If your answer to question 13 is YES, then proceed to question 14. If you answered NO, place a zero beside Laura Fujisawa in question 14 and proceed to question 14.

14. What percentage of responsibility for Laura Fujisawa's harm do you assign to the following? Insert a percentage for only those who received "yes" answers in questions 5, 10, or 13:

27

23

24

25

26

1	Compass Vision	<u> </u>
2	National Medical Service	<u> </u>
3	National Nearour Bervice	0
4	Laura Fujisawa	%
5	TOTAL:	100%
6		
7		
8	Signed: Dated:	
9	Signed: Dated: Presiding Juror	·
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

G:\BZALL\BZCASES\FUJISAWA V. COMPASS VISION\SPECIAL VERDICT\12.9.2010.VERSION2.wpd